

through August 12 and August 29 through September 9, respectively;

Whereas more than 10,500 athletes from 204 nations will compete in 26 Olympic sports, while 4,200 Paralympic athletes will compete in 20 sports;

Whereas Team USA athletes have spent countless days, months, and years training in hopes of earning a spot on the United States Olympic or Paralympic teams;

Whereas the Ted Stevens Olympic and Amateur Sports Act (36 U.S.C. 220501 et seq.)—

(1) made the United States Olympic Committee the coordinating body for all Olympic-related and Paralympic-related athletic activity in the United States; and

(2) gave the United States Olympic Committee the exclusive right in the United States to name, seals, emblems, and badges;

Whereas Congress also authorized the Committee to allow companies to use any trademark, symbol, insignia, or emblem of the International Olympic Committee, International Paralympic Committee, the Pan American Sports Organization, or the United States Olympic Committee in furtherance of the United States Olympic efforts;

Whereas Team USA is significantly funded by 35 sponsors who assure that the United States has the best team competing for the nation;

Whereas in recent years, a number of entities have engaged in ambush marketing as a marketing strategy, affiliating themselves with the Olympic and Paralympic Games without becoming sponsors of Team USA;

Whereas ambush marketing harms the Olympic and Paralympic Movements, undermines sponsorship activities, and allows competing companies an unfair and unethical advantage over companies who are officially sponsoring Team USA and providing funding for the elite athletes of the United States; and

Whereas efforts to prevent ambush marketing have enjoyed limited success as the strategies ambush marketers use continue to multiply; Now, therefore, be it

*Resolved*, That it is the sense of the Senate that—

(1) ambush marketing should not be condoned, especially those marketing efforts that adversely affect the ability of Team USA to attract and retain the necessary sponsorships to be successful at the 2012 Olympic and Paralympic Games in London, England; and

(2) corporations in the United States should be encouraged to cease all ambush marketing efforts, particularly related to the Olympic and Paralympic Movements.

**SENATE RESOLUTION 488—COM-  
MENDING THE EFFORTS OF THE  
FIREFIGHTERS AND EMERGENCY  
RESPONSE PERSONNEL OF  
MAINE, NEW HAMPSHIRE, MAS-  
SACHUSETTS, AND CON-  
NECTICUT, WHO CAME TO-  
GETHER TO EXTINGUISH THE  
MAY 23, 2012, FIRE AT PORTS-  
MOUTH NAVAL SHIPYARD IN  
KITTERY, MAINE**

Ms. SNOWE (for herself, Mrs. SHAHEEN, Mr. BLUMENTHAL, Mr. LIEBERMAN, Mr. KERRY, Mr. BROWN of Massachusetts, Ms. COLLINS, and Ms. AYOTTE) submitted the following resolution; which was considered and agreed to:

S. RES. 488

Whereas the USS Miami (SSN-755), a Los Angeles-class nuclear attack submarine with a crew of 13 officers and 120 enlisted per-

sonnel, arrived at Portsmouth Naval Shipyard on March 1, 2012, for 20 months of scheduled maintenance;

Whereas at 5:41 p.m. EDT on May 23, 2012, a 4-alarm fire occurred in the forward compartment of the USS Miami;

Whereas emergency response personnel, led by the firefighters of Portsmouth Naval Shipyard, worked for nearly 10 hours in tight, obstructed quarters filled with noxious smoke and searing heat—

(1) to prevent any loss of life;

(2) to bring the fire under control; and

(3) to successfully prevent the flames from reaching any nuclear material and allow the nuclear reactor to remain unaffected and stable throughout;

Whereas 23 fire departments and emergency response teams from the States of Maine, New Hampshire, Massachusetts, and Connecticut provided mutual aid support during the fire, including—

(1) Pease Air Force Base, New Hampshire;

(2) York County Hazardous Materials Response Team, Maine;

(3) Massachusetts Port Authority Logan Airport Crash Team;

(4) South Portland Fire Department, Maine;

(5) Eliot Fire Department, Maine;

(6) Lee Fire Department, New Hampshire;

(7) Dover Ambulance, New Hampshire;

(8) Portsmouth Fire Department, New Hampshire;

(9) Hampton Fire Department, New Hampshire;

(10) Kittery Fire Department, Maine;

(11) Newcastle Fire Department, New Hampshire;

(12) American Medical Response Ambulance, New Hampshire;

(13) Hanscom Air Force Base, Massachusetts;

(14) Naval Submarine Base New London, Connecticut;

(15) Rye Fire Department, New Hampshire;

(16) Greenland Fire Department, New Hampshire;

(17) York Fire Department, Maine;

(18) Newington Fire Department, Connecticut;

(19) Somersworth Fire Department, New Hampshire;

(20) Rollinsford Fire Department, New Hampshire;

(21) South Berwick Fire Department, Maine;

(22) York Ambulance, Maine; and

(23) York Beach Fire Department, Maine; and

Whereas the heroic actions of those firefighters, emergency response personnel, and the USS Miami crew and shipyard firefighters, 7 of whom suffered minor injuries during the fire, directly prevented catastrophe, and greatly limited the severity of the fire even in the most challenging of environments; Now, therefore, be it

*Resolved*, That the Senate—

(1) commends the exemplary and courageous service of all the firefighters and emergency response personnel who came together to successfully contain the fire, minimizing damage to a critical national security asset and ensuring no loss of life; and

(2) expresses support for the Navy and the exceptionally skilled workforce at Portsmouth Naval Shipyard in Kittery, Maine.

**AMENDMENTS SUBMITTED AND  
PROPOSED**

SA 2190. Ms. SNOWE (for herself and Mrs. GILLIBRAND) submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by her to the bill S. 3240, to reauthorize agricultural programs through 2017, and for other purposes; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 2191. Mr. ALEXANDER submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the bill S. 3240, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 2192. Ms. AYOTTE (for herself and Mr. COBURN) submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by her to the bill S. 3240, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 2193. Ms. AYOTTE submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by her to the bill S. 3240, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 2194. Ms. AYOTTE submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by her to the bill S. 3240, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 2195. Ms. AYOTTE submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by her to the bill S. 3240, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 2196. Mr. MCCAIN submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the bill S. 3240, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 2197. Mr. MCCAIN (for himself and Ms. AYOTTE) submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the bill S. 3240, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 2198. Mr. MCCAIN (for himself, Mr. PAUL, and Ms. AYOTTE) submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the bill S. 3240, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 2199. Mr. MCCAIN (for himself, Mr. KERRY, Mr. COBURN, Mrs. SHAHEEN, Mr. CRAPO, and Mr. NELSON of Florida) submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the bill S. 3240, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 2200. Mr. LEAHY submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the bill S. 3240, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 2201. Mrs. SHAHEEN (for herself and Mr. TOOMEY) submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by her to the bill S. 3240, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 2202. Mr. BENNET (for himself and Mr. CRAPO) submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the bill S. 3240, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 2203. Mr. BENNET (for himself and Mr. CRAPO) submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the bill S. 3240, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 2204. Mr. LEAHY submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the bill S. 3240, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 2205. Ms. MURKOWSKI (for herself and Mr. BEGICH) submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by her to the bill S. 3240, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 2206. Ms. MURKOWSKI (for herself, Mr. KERRY, and Mr. BROWN of Massachusetts) submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by her to the bill S. 3240, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 2207. Ms. MURKOWSKI (for herself and Mr. BEGICH) submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by her to the bill S. 3240, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 2208. Ms. MURKOWSKI (for herself and Mr. BEGICH) submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by her to the bill S. 3240, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 2209. Ms. MURKOWSKI (for herself and Mr. BEGICH) submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by her to the bill S. 3240, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 2210. Mr. JOHANNIS submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the bill S. 3240, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 2211. Mr. JOHANNES submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the bill S. 3240, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 2212. Mr. JOHANNES (for himself and Mr. GRASSLEY) submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the bill S. 3240, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 2213. Mr. HELLER submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the bill S. 3240, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 2214. Mr. COBURN (for himself, Mr. UDALL of Colorado, Mr. BURR, Mr. MCCAIN, Ms. AYOTTE, and Mr. MORAN) submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the bill S. 3240, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 2215. Mr. TOOMEY submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the bill S. 3240, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 2216. Mr. TOOMEY submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the bill S. 3240, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 2217. Mr. TOOMEY submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the bill S. 3240, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 2218. Mr. TOOMEY submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the bill S. 3240, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 2219. Mr. CARDIN submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the bill S. 3240, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 2220. Mr. WYDEN (for himself and Mr. PAUL) submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the bill S. 3240, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 2221. Mr. WYDEN (for himself and Mr. LUGAR) submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the bill S. 3240, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 2222. Mrs. MCCASKILL submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by her to the bill S. 3240, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 2223. Mrs. MCCASKILL (for herself and Mrs. GILLIBRAND) submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by her to the bill S. 3240, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 2224. Mr. THUNE submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the bill S. 3240, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 2225. Mr. COBURN submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the bill S. 3240, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 2226. Mr. TOOMEY submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the bill S. 3240, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 2227. Mr. LAUTENBERG submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the bill S. 3240, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 2228. Ms. CANTWELL submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by her to the bill S. 3240, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 2229. Mr. BEGICH submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the bill S. 3240, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 2230. Mr. BEGICH submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the bill S. 3240, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 2231. Mr. WARNER submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the bill S. 3240, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 2232. Mr. TESTER (for himself and Mr. THUNE) submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the bill S. 3240, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 2233. Mrs. FEINSTEIN submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by her to the bill S. 3240, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 2234. Mr. TESTER submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the bill S. 3240, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 2235. Mr. WYDEN submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the bill S. 3240, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 2236. Ms. MURKOWSKI (for herself and Mr. BEGICH) submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by her to the bill S. 3240, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 2237. Mr. CASEY submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the bill S. 3240, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 2238. Mr. CASEY submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the bill S. 3240, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 2239. Mr. HARKIN submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the bill S. 3240, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 2240. Mr. THUNE (for himself, Mr. GRAHAM, Mr. RUBIO, and Mr. BOOZMAN) submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the bill S. 3240, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 2241. Mr. NELSON of Nebraska submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the bill S. 3240, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 2242. Mr. NELSON of Nebraska (for himself, Mr. JOHANNES, Mr. JOHNSON of South Dakota, and Mr. MORAN) submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the bill S. 3240, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 2243. Mr. NELSON of Nebraska submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the bill S. 3240, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 2244. Mr. FRANKEN submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the bill S. 3240, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 2245. Mr. HARKIN (for himself and Mr. CASEY) submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the bill S. 3240, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

#### TEXT OF AMENDMENTS

**SA 2190.** Ms. SNOWE (for herself and Mrs. GILLIBRAND) submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by her to the bill S. 3240, to reauthorize agricultural programs through 2017, and for other purposes; which was ordered to lie on the table; as follows:

On page 115, strikes lines 12 and 13 and inserts the following:

#### **PART IV—FEDERAL MILK MARKETING ORDER REFORM**

##### **SEC. 1481. REQUIRED AMENDMENTS TO FEDERAL MILK MARKETING ORDERS.**

###### **(a) AMENDMENTS REQUIRED.—**

(1) **IN GENERAL.**—The Secretary shall amend each Federal milk marketing order issued under section 8c of the Agricultural Adjustment Act (7 U.S.C. 608c), reenacted with amendments by the Agricultural Marketing Agreement Act of 1937 (in this part referred to as a “milk marketing order”), as required by this section.

(2) **RELATION TO OTHER LAWS.**—Except as provided in section 1482, the Secretary shall execute the amendments required by this section without regard to any provision of section 8c of the Agricultural Adjustment Act (7 U.S.C. 608c), reenacted with amendments by the Agricultural Marketing Agreement Act of 1937, as in effect on the day before the date of enactment of this Act.

(b) **USE OF END-PRODUCT PRICE FORMULAS.**—The Secretary shall eliminate the use of end-product price formulas for setting prices for Class III milk.

(c) **ADMINISTRATIVE AUTHORITY.**—In addition to and notwithstanding the authority provided under section 8d of the Agricultural Adjustment Act (7 U.S.C. 608d), reenacted with amendments by the Agricultural Marketing Agreement Act of 1937, the Secretary may—

(1) require handlers to report, maintain, and make available all information and records that the Secretary considers necessary for the administration of any milk marketing order; and

(2) adopt only such conforming amendments to milk marketing orders as the Secretary determines to be necessary to implement the amendments required by this section.

##### **SEC. 1482. AMENDMENT PROCESS.**

###### **(a) PROCESS.—**

(1) **IN GENERAL.**—Except as otherwise provided in this subsection, the amendments to milk marketing orders required to be made by section 1481 shall be subject to subsections (17) and (19) of section 8c of the Agricultural Adjustment Act (7 U.S.C. 608c), reenacted with amendments by the Agricultural Marketing Agreement Act of 1937.

(2) **NOTICE OF FINAL DECISION ON PROPOSED AMENDMENTS.**—Not later than 270 days after the date of enactment of this Act, the Secretary shall publish in the Federal Register notice of a final decision on the proposed amendments to be made to milk marketing orders in order to comply with section 1481.

###### **(3) PRODUCER REFERENDUM.—**

(A) **REFERENDUM REQUIRED.**—As soon as practicable after publication of the final decision on the proposed amendments under paragraph (2), the Secretary shall conduct a producer referendum regarding the final decision on the proposed amendments.

###### **(B) TERMS OF REFERENDUM.—**

(i) **IN GENERAL.**—Except as provided in clauses (ii) and (iii), the producer referendum shall be conducted in the manner provided by section 8c(19) of the Agricultural Adjustment Act (7 U.S.C. 608c(19)), reenacted with amendments by the Agricultural Marketing Agreement Act of 1937.

(ii) **SINGLE REFERENDUM.**—The referendum shall be a single referendum upon which approval or failure of the proposed amendments to all milk marketing orders shall depend.

(iii) **APPROVAL REQUIREMENTS.**—The proposed amendments shall require approval by ½ of participating producers or by volume of production (rather than ⅔) in order for the referendum to pass and the proposed amendments to take effect.

(C) **EFFECT OF FAILURE.**—If the referendum fails, the milk marketing orders shall remain in force as in effect before the proposed amendments were published.

(b) **EFFECT OF COURT ORDER.**—If the Secretary is enjoined or otherwise restrained by a court order from executing the amendments to milk marketing orders required by section 1481, the length of time for which that injunction or other restraining order is effective shall be added to any time limitation in effect under paragraph (2) or (3) of subsection (a), so as to extend those time limitations by a period of time equal to the